



DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54692/lgujls.2024.0802337>

Paper Submission: 29th April 2021; Paper Acceptance: 5th May 2024; Paper Publication: 14th June 2024

Research Article

LGU J. Life. Sci

Vol 8 Issue 2 April- June 2024

ISSN 2519-9404

eISSN 2521-0130

Evaluation of phytochemicals and antioxidant potential of *Cymbopogon citratus*

Muhammad Atif Sohail¹, Syeda Mona Hassan*², Muhammad Sabir Tehseen³, Hadia Shahzad⁴, Gulshan Perveen⁵, Muhammad Asif Ibrahim⁶, Syed Khuram Hassan⁷

1. Department of Applied Chemistry and Biochemistry, GC University, Faisalabad
2. Department of Chemistry, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad
3. Department of Chemistry, The University of Lahore, Sargodha Campus
4. Department of Chemistry, University of Education, Lahore
5. Department of Chemistry, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan
6. Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Lahore, Lahore
7. Institute of Quality and Technology Management, PU, Lahore

Corresponding Author's Email: s.monahassan@lgu.edu.pk

ABSTRACT: *Cymbopogon citratus* is an important medicinal plant belongs to family Gramineae. It originates from Ethiopia, India Africa America and is broadly extended all over humid, subtropical and warm temperate regions of the world. This present research work has been designed to evaluate the antioxidant potential of *Cymbopogon* leaves. The antifungal and antioxidant components of *Cymbopogon* leaves were extracted by means of four solvent systems (80% methanol, 100% methanol 80% ethanol, and 100% ethanol) and maximum extract yield (48.1 g/100g DW) was obtained in 80% methanolic solvent system. *Cymbopogon* leaves were analyzed in terms of total phenolic contents, showed that 80% methanolic leaves extract offered highest total phenolic contents (35.2 mg GAE/g DW) Antioxidant activity was investigated by DPPH radical scavenging activity and by measuring reducing power. Results showed that 80% methanolic leaf extract showed maximum radical scavenging activity and reducing potential. Overall results of the present study showed that 80% methanolic *Cymbopogon* leaf extract can be used effectively to make antioxidant agents which can be utilized in different industries like pharmaceutical, food and cosmetics.

Keywords: Antioxidant, *Cymbopogon*, Phenolics, Reducing Potential, Lemon Grass

INTRODUCTION

The aromatic plant Lemon grass belongs to the family Gramineae (Akhila., 2010). The leaf-blade of this plant is linear, elongated at both ends and it can raise to a 1.5 cm in width and 50 cm in length. The tubular shape of the leaf sheath acts as a pseudo stem. This plant at mature stage of growth produces flowers (Tajidin et al, 2012). Lemon grass or *Cymbopogon citratus* (*C. citratus*) widely cultured in warm, tropical and subtropical regions. On dry basis it has 1% to 2% essential oil and its chemical composition may be different as a genetic diversity function, habitat and cultural agronomic treatment (Hadjilouka, 2012).

The important phytoconstituents of lemon grass are essential oils which includes Citral α , Citronellal, phenolic and flavonoids compounds (Vanisha et al.,2012).

Lemongrasses possess antioxidant, bactericidal, antidepressant, astringent, sedative, fungicidal, nervine and antiseptic properties (Naik et al., 2010). According to biological effects *C. citratus* extracts describe to its main bioactive elements, resulting from its stem, roots and leaves, in addition to secondary metabolites of these compounds (Christopher

et al., 2014). The biologically active citral component of lemon grass consists of its essential oil (Huynh et al., 2008).

The current research work was performed to evaluate the biological potential of lemon grass. The phytochemicals and the antioxidant activity were evaluated for *C. citratus*.

Materials and methods

Collection of plant materials

C. citratus leaves were obtained from the vicinity of Lahore Garrison University, Lahore, Pakistan.

Pretreatment of plant materials

The leaves of *C. citratus* were washed with tap water and then dried out at 41°C in an oven (Memmert, Jarmany) until stable weight. By using a commercial blender, dried leaves were grounded into fine powder. Then the ground material was conceded through 79-mesh strainer. The passed material was also used for extraction purposes. Polythene bags were used to store the ground samples at 4°C till further analysis.

Extraction of bioactive compounds

For extraction, four solvent systems (100% ethanol and 80% ethanol, 100% methanol, 80% methanol,) are being used. In this regard powdered leaves (20g) were also extracted with 200mL in an orbital shaker for 6 hours at room

temperature (Gallenkamp, UK). To separate the extract from residue Whatman No. 1 filter paper was used. Two times resulting residues were extracted with the same solvent system. Drying of extracts was done at temperature of 45°C and their yield was calculated by weighing extracts. The extracts were reserved in a refrigerator at 4°C for further analysis (Hassan et al., 2016).

Phytochemical studies of medicinal plant extract

Total phenolic contents

The method which was used to establish the total phenolic contents of *C. citratus* was based on the procedure of Zafar et al., (2016). The results were presented in gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per gram of extract.

Antioxidant potential

DPPH radical scavenging assay

DDPH radical scavenging analyzes was applied to determine the free radical scavenging activity of *C. citratus*. 2, 2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical was used to determine the scavenging action as described by Suleman et al., (2018) with little amendment.

Determination of reducing power

The reducing power of the leaf extracts was resolute according to the procedure explained by (Hassan et al., 2016) with slight modification.

Statistical analysis

By performing all experiments in triplicate (n=3), mean \pm SD was applied. Data analysed at 5% significant level through statistical software Minitab 2000 Version 13.2 (Minitab Inc. Pennsylvania, U.S.A).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The current research work was conducted to display the phytochemical constituents and antioxidant potential of *C. citratus* leaves.

Percentage yield (g/100g DW) of extracts

The percentage yield of plant extracts is based on different factors like amount of solvent used, nature of plant material and method of extraction (Hsu and Coupar, 2006). Methanol is known to be a superior and broadly used solvent to pull out antimicrobial components and natural antioxidative components from plants (Anwar et al., 2010).

The extraction yields from leaves of *C. citratus* against different solvent systems are presented in Table 1. Comparatively, 80% methanol showed significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher extraction yields from leaves (48.1%). The extraction capability of different solvent systems from leaves followed the order: 80%

methanol> 80% ethanol> absolute
methanol> absolute ethanol.

Table 1: Percentage yield (g/100g DW) of extracts of Cymbopogon leaves

Sr. no	Solvent System	Percentage yield (g/100g DW)
1	80 % Methanol	48.1±0.42 ^a
2	80 % Ethanol	45.7±0.23 ^b
3	Absolute Methanol	41.3±0.40 ^c
4	Absolute Ethanol	39.2±0.25 ^d

Values mean ± SD of three samples analysed individually in triplicate at p <0.05. Superscripts

alphabets within the column depicted significant differences among different solvent systems.




Fig. 1: Percentage yield (g/100g DW) of extracts of C. citratus leaves

Total phenolic content

In plants, phenolic compounds are the most important antioxidants (Sakihama et al., 2002). They contribute an essential role in scavenging free radical action (Agbo et al., 2015). Scavenging activity of the potent free radical of phenolic compounds is due to

the presence of their hydroxyl groups and it directly contributes to antioxidant potential (Wojdylo et al. 2007). Results of phenolic contents medicinal plant extract are given in Table 2 showed total phenolic content in leaves of cymbopogon was found to be

varied significantly from 29.3-35.2 (mg GAE/g DW), respectively. It was investigated that maximum TPC were obtained from leaves in 80% methanolic extract followed by: absolute methanol>80% ethanol>absolute ethanol. Overall, results showed that the maximum phenolic contents were obtained from methanolic leaf extracts.

Our results are supported by the previous study of Butsat and Siriamornpun (2016) who reported that higher phenolic contents were obtained from 80% methanol, than 80% ethanol for plant extracts. Ghasemzadeh et al. (2011) reported that methanol solvent

was found to be more effective in extracting phenolic components as compared to other solvents that also support our results.

Liao et al. (2012) also determined the phenolic contents in different parts of *Cymbopogon* leaves of the methanol extracts. The maximum phenolic content was obtained from *Cymbopogon* leaves. Namvar et al. (2017) examined that 80% methanolic extract was found to possess higher total phenolic contents than other extracts. Thus, for extraction of phenolic compounds, an aqueous methanolic solvent system is being used that is a better and more efficient solvent.

Table 2: Total phenolic contents (mg GAE/g DW) of *Cymbopogon* leaves

Sr. no	Solvent System	Total phenolic contents (mg GAE/g DW)
1	80 %Methanol	35.2±0.56 ^a
2	80 % Ethanol	30.9±0.58 ^b
3	absolute Methanol	32.1±0.43 ^{ab}
4	absolute Ethanol	29.3±0.35 ^c

Values mean ± SD of three samples investigated individually in triplicate at p <0.05. Superscripts alphabets within the column showed significant difference among different solvent systems




Fig. 2: Total phenolic contents (mg GAE/g DW) of Cymbopogon leaves
Antioxidant activity

There are various numbers of medicinal plants that are being used due to their antioxidant properties. These chemical constituents are very helpful to prevent the destructive actions caused by their oxidative stress (Selvam et al., 2012). In the present study, two assays were used to find the antioxidant activity of Cymbopogon leaves.

DPPH radical scavenging activity

Natural constituents such as polyphenols, flavonoids, phenolics, terpenes and tannins possess antioxidant property to scavenge free radicals (Hassan et al., 2009). Antioxidant activity of these products can be evaluated by using DPPH radical scavenging assay. This assay has been used widely to test the scavenging

capability of compounds which act as free radical or hydrogen donors to DPPH (Patel et al., 2015). A nitrogen centred free radical compound is present in stable form of DPPH. Upon reduction, its colour changes from violet to yellow by hydrogen or electron donation. Substances which can execute such types of reactions are known as good antioxidants and better radical scavengers. It has been also found that with increasing the extract concentration, DPPH free radical scavenging ability also increases (Ebrahimzadeh et al., 2010).

So, DPPH free radical scavenging method is based on phenomenon of transfer of electron. It is an antioxidant assay that produces a violet coloration in methanol solution (Garcia et al.,

2012) It is an important mechanism that explains the oxidation process of proton radical scavenger. By decreasing the absorbance of DPPH solution to 517 nm, its reduction capability was evaluated suggesting that antioxidant activity of plant extract is owing to its proton donating capability (Chougule et al., 2012). The antioxidant molecule has the hydrogen donating atom which contributes to its free radical scavenging nature which is an important quality of antioxidants (Sathisha, 2011). DPPH radical assay has been used because it is a quick, reliable, easy and rapid method to investigate the general antioxidant activity of plants extracts as well as pure compounds. This method is also used for showing a lot of samples for radical scavenging potential and is self-governing on the polarity of sample (Aliyu et al., 2009).

The antioxidant potential of medicinal plant *C. citratus* was evaluated by using the DPPH free radical scavenging assay. This assay also explored its new

potential sources for natural antioxidants. DPPH concentrations of medicinal plant leaves were tested and found to be reduced due to scavenging potential. Table 3 showed that there is a significant difference of DPPH radical scavenging activities of *C. citratus* extracts among different solvent system. The aqueous alcoholic extracts of *C. citratus* leaves exhibited satisfactory DPPH radical scavenging ability. The *C. citratus* leaves exhibited highest DPPH radical scavenging potential significantly ($p < 0.05$) in 80% methanolic extract followed by 80% ethanol, absolute methanol and absolute ethanol.

Present results are supported by the preceding report of Liao et al., (2012) who investigated that *Cymbopogon* leaves exhibit higher free radical scavenging activity. Wu et al., also (2009) reported that methanolic extracts of *cymbopogon* also showed highest DPPH radical scavenging ability (71.1%).

Table 3: DPPH radical scavenging activity of the *C. citratus* leaves

Sr. no	Solvent System	DPPH (%) radical scavenging activity
1	80 % Methanol	60.1±1.20 ^a
2	80 % Ethanol	58.9±0.45 ^b
3	100 % Methanol	57.3±2.48 ^{bc}
4	100 % Ethanol	56.4±0.65 ^c

Values mean \pm SD of three samples investigated individually in triplicate at $p < 0.05$. Superscripts alphabets within the column showed significant differences among different solvent systems. Reductive abilities of the plant extracts can be an indication of their potential towards antioxidant activities (Zhang et al., 2011). The antioxidant ability of phenolic compounds is generally due to their redox properties. These properties allow them to react as a reducing agent such as an oxygen quencher electron donor. Studies on medicinal plants and vegetables revealed that plants are the great source of antioxidant properties. In biological systems, these plants can apply the protection effects against certain oxidative stress (Sylvie et al., 2014). Electrons are donated to reactive radical species due to the presence of antioxidant substances by the process in which these are neutralized into stable

and nonreactive species (Nishaa et al., 2012).

In this assay, lessening of the Fe^{3+} to the ferrous form occurs due to presence of reducers which is also known as antioxidant. So reducing power is measured by donation of electron and reduction of $Fe^{3+}(CN^{-})_6$ to $Fe^{2+}(CN^{-})_6$. Perl Prussian blue colour product formation indicates the presence of Fe^{2+} concentration that can be monitored at the wavelength of 700 nm (Ahmed et al., 2015). Higher absorbance values were indication of high antioxidant properties (Nishaa et al., 2012). Hence, activity of reducing power increases with increasing the concentration of extracts (Senguttuvan et al., 2014).

The reducing power of *C. citratus* leaves extracts is offered in table 2. The reducing potential values of the examined extracts were observed at different concentrations ranging from 2.5 to 10.0 mg/mL. It was experimental

that leaves extracts showed considerably ($P < 0.05$) high reducing potential, irrespective to which type of solvent used. However, 80% methanolic leaves extract showed the highest reducing power. The results revealed that antioxidant power was a function of concentration. By increasing the extract concentration, antioxidant activity was also increased. Results of present research work are

supported by the previous analysis of Geng et al., (2015) who investigated that the reducing power of *C. citratus* leaves linearly increased with increasing the extract concentration. Furthermore, Chang et al. (2007) described the reducing power of *C. citratus* extracts which also showed greatest reduction potential at 2.5mg/mL concentration.

Table 3: Reducing Power of Cymbopogon leaves extract

Plant Parts	Solvent system	Concentration (mg/ml)			
		2.5 ^a	5.0 ^b	7.5 ^c	10 ^d
Leaves ^a	80% methanol	0.131±0.03	0.137±0.02	0.145±0.01	0.158±0.06
	80% ethanol	0.128±0.01	0.131±0.04	0.145±0.03	0.150±0.05
	Absolute Methanol	0.121±0.06	0.127±0.02	0.134±0.01	0.151±0.06
	Absolute Ethanol	0.127±0.04	0.132±0.01	0.138±0.06	0.145±0.02

Values mean \pm SD of three samples investigated individually in triplicate at $p < 0.05$. The superscripts alphabets within the column showed significant

differences among different plant parts. Superscripts alphabets within the rows depicted significant differences among different concentrations.




Fig. 3: Reducing Power of *C. citratus* leaf extracts

CONCLUSION

The present research work was conducted to investigate the biological activities of leaf extracts of *C. citratus*. A considerable quantity of total phenolics was found in examined leaf extracts of *C. citratus*. It was revealed that leaf extracts of *C. citratus* exhibited excellent antioxidant activity. Phytoconstituents of plants can be used in food and cosmetic industries to stop the process of oxidation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to extend their sincere gratitude to their HoD and Lab staff for their support and facilitation.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Agbo MO, Uzor PF, Akazie-Nneji UN, Eze-Odurukwe CU, Ogbatue UB, Mbaaji EC (2015). Antioxidant, Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Content of Selected Nigerian Medicinal Plants. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 14(1): 1-7.
2. Ahmed D, Khan MM, Saeed R (2015). Comparative Analysis of Phenolics, Flavonoids, and Antioxidant and Antibacterial Potential of Methanolic, Hexanic and Aqueous Extracts from *Adiantumcaudatum* Leaves. *Antioxidants*. 4: 394-409.
3. Akhila A, (2010). Essential Oil-bearing Grasses: The genus *Cymbopogon*. Medical and aromatic plants-industrial profile. Taylor and Francis Group, 4: 43-51.

4. Aliyu AB, Musa AM, Ibrahim MA, Ibrahim H, Oyewale AO (2009). Preliminary phytochemical screening and antioxidant activity of leave extract o Albizia Chevalieri harms (Leguminoseae-Mimosoideae). *Bayero Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences*. 2(1): 149 -153.
5. Butsat S, Siriamornpun S (2016). Effect of solvent types and extraction times on phenolic and flavonoid contents and antioxidant activity in leaf extracts of *Amomum chinense* C. *International Food and Research Journal*. 23(1): 180-187.
6. Chang H, Ho Yl, Sheu Mj, Lin Y, Tseng M, Wu S, Huang G, Chang Y (2007). Antioxidant and free radical scavenging activities of *Phellinus merrillii* extracts. *Botanical Studies*. 48: 407-417.
7. Chougule P, Pawar R, Limaye D, Joshi YM, Kadam V (2012). In-Vitro Antioxidant Activity of Ethanolic Extract of *Centaurea behen*. *Journal of Applied and Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2 (4): 106-110.
8. Christopher E, Ekpenyong EE, Akpan NE, Daniel (2014). Phytochemical Constituents, Therapeutic Applications and Toxicological Profile of *Cymbopogon citratus* Stapf (DC) Leaf Extract. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 3 (1): 133-141.
9. Ebrahimzadeh MA, Nabavi SF, Nabavi SM, Eslamib B (2010). Antihypoxic and antioxidant activity of *Hibiscus esculentus* seeds. *Grasas Y Aceites*. 61 (1): 30-36.
10. Felhi S, Daoud A, Hajlaoui F, Mnafigui K, Gharsallah N, Kadri A (2017). Solvent extraction effects on phytochemical constituents' profiles, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities and functional group analysis of *Ecballium elaterium* seeds and peels fruits. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 37(3): 483-492.
11. Garcia EJ, Oldoni TLC, Alencar SMD, Reis A, Loguercio AD, Grande, RHM (2012). Antioxidant Activity by DPPH Assay of Potential Solutions to be Applied on Bleached Teeth. *Brazilian Dental Journal*. 23(1): 22-27.
12. Geng S, Liu Y, Ma H, Chungang C (2015). Extraction and Antioxidant Activity of Phenolic Compounds from Okra Flowers. *Tropical Journal of Pharmaceutical Research*, 14(5): 807-814.
13. Ghasemzadeh A, Jaafar HZE, Rahmat A (2011). Effects of solvent type on phenolics and flavonoids content and antioxidant activities in two varieties of young ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) extracts. *Journal of Medicinal Plants and Research*. 5(7): 1147-1154.

14. Hadjilouka A, Polychronopoulou M, Paramithiotis S, Tzamalīs P, Eleftherios H, Drosinos EH (2012). Effect of Lemongrass Essential Oil Vapors on Microbial Dynamics and *Listeria monocytogenes* Survival on Rocket and Melon Stored under Different Packaging Conditions and Temperatures. *Microorganisms*. 3: 535-550.
15. Hasan SMR, Hossain MM, Akter R, Jamila M, Mazumder MEH, Rahman S (2009). DPPH free radical scavenging activity of some Bangladeshi medicinal plants. *Journal of Medicinal Plant and Research*. 3(11): 875-879.
16. Hassan SM, Sultana B, Jahan N, Iqbal T (2016). Evaluation of phenolic profile and antioxidant potential of medicinal plants. *Oxidation communication*. 39(1): 2222-2236.
17. Hsu B, Coupar M (2006). Antioxidant activity of hot water extract from the fruit of the Doum palm, *Hyphaene thebaica*. *Food Chemistry*. 98: 317-328.
18. Huynh KP, Maridable J, Gaspillo P, Hasika M, Malaluan R, Kawasaki J (2008). Essential oil from lemongrass extracted by supercritical carbon dioxide and steam distillation. *The Phillippine Agricultural Science*. 91: 36-41.
19. Liao H, Liu H, Yuan K (2012). A new flavonol glycoside from the *Abelmoschus esculentus* Linn. *Pharmagnosy Magazine*. 8(29): 12-15.
20. Naik MI, Fomda BA, Jaykumar E, Bhat JA (2010). Antibacterial activity of lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) oil against some selected pathogenic bacterias. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Medicine*. 31: 535-538.
21. Namvar K, Mohammadi A, Salehi EA, Feyzi F (2017). Evaluation of Solvent Effect (Methanol: Water Mixture) on the Phenolic Content and Antioxidant Activities of *Stachys turcomanica* Trautv. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 23: 244-248.
22. Nishaa S, Vishnupriya M, Sasikumar JM, Christable HP, Akrishnan VNKG (2012). Antioxidant activity of ethanolic extract of *Maranta arundinacea*. L Tuberos Rhizomes. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research*. 5(4): 85-88.
23. Patel R, Patel Y, Kunjadia P, Kunjadia A (2015). DPPH free radical scavenging activity of phenolics and flavonoids in some medicinal plants of India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 4(1): 773-780.
24. Sakihama Y, Kohen MF, Grace SC, Yamasaki H (2002). Plant phenolic antioxidant and prooxidant activities:

- phenolics-induced oxidative damage mediated by metals in plants. *Toxicology*. 177(1): 67-80.
25. Sathisha AD, Lingaraju HB, Prasad KS (2011). Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity of Medicinal Plant Extracts Produced for Commercial Purpose. *European Journal of Chemistry*. 8(2): 882-886.
 26. Selvam K, Arunprakash S, Selvankumar T, Govarthanan M, Sengottaiyan A (2012). Antioxidant prospective and secondary metabolites in *Abutilon indicum* at different environment. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences and Research*. 3(7): 2011-2017.
 27. Senguttuvan J, Paulsamy S, Karthika K (2014). Phytochemical analysis and evaluation of leaf and root parts of the medicinal herb, *Hypochoeris radicata* L. for in vitro antioxidant activities. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*. 4(1): 359-367.
 28. Shabbir G, Anwar F, Sultana B, Khalid ZM, Afzal M, Khan MQ, Ashrafuzzaman M (2011). Antioxidant and antimicrobial attributes and phenolics of different solvent extracts from leaves, flowers and bark of Gold Mohar. *Molecules*. 16: 7302-7319.
 29. Suleman M, Nouren S, Hassan SM, Faiz AH, Sahr GA, Soomro GA, Tahir MA, Iqbal M, Nazir A (2018). Vitality and Implication of Natural Products from *Viburnum Grandiflorum*: an Eco-Friendly Approach. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*. 27(3): 1-5.
 30. Sultana B, Anwar F, Mushtaq M, Aslam M, Ijaz S (2014). In vitro antimutagenic, antioxidant activities and total phenolics of clove (*Syzygium aromaticum* L.) seed extracts. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 27(4): 893-899.
 31. Sylvie DD, Anatole PC, Cabral BP, Veronique PB (2014). Comparison of in vitro antioxidant properties of extracts from three plants used for medical purpose in Cameroon: *Acalypha racemosa*, *Garcinia lucida* and *Hymenocardia lyrata*. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine*. 4(2): 625-632.
 32. Tajidin NE, Ahmad SHI, Rosenani AB, Azimah H, Munirah M1 (2012). Chemical composition and citral content in lemongrass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) essential oil at three maturity stages. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 11(11): 2685-2693.
 33. Vanisha S, Nambiar, Matela H (2012). Review article Potential Functions of Lemon Grass (*Cymbopogon citratus*) in Health and Disease. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biological Archives*. 3(5):1035-1043.

34. Wojdylo A, Oszmianski J, Czemerys R (2007). Antioxidant activity and phenolic compounds in 32 selected herbs. *105(3)*: 940-949.
35. Wu N, Fu K, Fu YJ, Zu YG, Chang FR, Chen YH, Liu XL, Kong Y, Liu W. Gu, CB (2009). Antioxidant Activities of Extracts and Main Components of Pigeonpea [*Cajanus cajan* (L.) Millsp.] Leaves. *Molecules*. *14*: 1032-1043.
36. Zafar F, Jahan N, Rahman KU, Aslam S (2016). Synergistic free radical scavenging potential of polyphenolic phytotherapeutics in various plants combinations. *Oxidation communication*. *39*, *3(1)*: 2213-2221.
37. Zhang A, Fang Y, Wang H, Li H, Zhang Z (2011). Free-Radical Scavenging Properties and Reducing Power of Grape Cane Extracts from 11 Selected Grape Cultivars Widely Grown in China. *Molecules*. *16*: 10104-10122.